Total length of the abstract: 2-3 pages

Title: chose a title, that describes the topic well and brief; avoid abreviations

X. main author, city/Ger, B. Co-author, city/USA

Dr. Xaver Amin author, University ABC, Street. 123, city/Ger

Introduction

Briefly describe the background of your work for your audience consisting of water chemists, analytical chemists, microbiologists and water treatment experts. Try forward writing, as your audience can follow your written word without needing further details provided “later”. Introduce all abbreviations at the first point of use. Make sure to reduce grammar and typing errors as much as possible as you are responsible for your text which will be published without any further proof-reading option. Abstracts which are hard to understand may be rejected.

Experimental part

Briefly describe the concept of your experimental work. Describe analytical methods and details (HPLC, RP-separation column, QToF-MS) of experimental setups.

Results and discussion

Style of writing: As mentioned above keep a logic structure and keep being as concise as possible. Avoid jumping between topics and consolidate issues that belong together. This may not be obvious after one proof reading, double checking by a colleague is recommended.

…

Figures and Tables Describe the main results using ***one Figure and/or one Table,*** respectively. Provide all details which are required to fully understand your Figure/Table in the numbered Figure caption/Table heading. Usually figure captions and table headings can become quite large and should contain: Figures and Tables plus their caption have to be self-explaining.

Design of figures:

Fond size: The fond size of any letter or word in the figure should be at least pt.12 (in the printed version). Use large and easily visible symbols; symbol size >7 (excel)
Provide statistical data (error bars etc.)
Provide only the significant digits (imaging how precise your method really is)



Figure 1: Correlation of precepted time vs. real time passed while writing the abstract for the Wasser conference. Closed circles: routine day (reference), open circles: abstract writing phase, number of test persons: 10, standard deviation ± 1 sigma, test period 25.-29.11.2022, mixed weather.

Table 1: Water quality of different natural waters under study, Each water was sampled once on 1.12.2022

	pH	Conductivity µS/cm	SAK 1/m	TOC mg/L	Chloride mg/L	Nitrate mg/L
Water 1	**7.2**	**2690**	**0.52**	**1.2**	**3.5**	**7.2**
Water 2	**6.3**	**2030**	**0.77**	**0.45**	**1.5**	**6.5**
Water 3	**7.3**	**895**	**2.39**	**2.9**	**17.0**	**<0.5**
Water 4	**7.5**	**400**	**9.41**	**24**	**38.7**	**18.1**
Water 5	**7.8**	**235**	**129**	**5**	**20.0**	**34.2**

References:

Provide all details needed to find the cited literature, such as von Gunten (2018) [1]

Literature:

1. von Gunten, U. Oxidation Processes in Water Treatment: Are We on Track? *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2018**, *52*, 5062–5075, doi:10.1021/acs.est.8b00586.